1	PEPPER HAMILTON, LLP	\		
2	Harry P. Weitzel (CA BAR NO. 149934) weitzelh@pepperlaw.com 4 Park Plaza, Suite 1200			
3	Irvine, California 92614-5955			
4	Telephone: (949) 567-3500 Facsimile: (949) 521-9101			
5	William D. Belanger (MA Bar No. 6571	84)		
6	belangerw@pepperlaw.com James M. Wodarski (MA Bar No. 627036)			
7	wodarskj@pepperlaw.com Matthew D. Durell (GA Bar No. 142061) durellm@pepperlaw.com			
8	durellm@pepperlaw.com David A. Loo (MA Bar No. 669305) lood@pepperlaw.com			
9	All admitted pro hac vice			
10	125 High Street 15 th Floor, Oliver Street Tower Boston, Massachusetts 02110			
11	Telephone: (617) 204-5100 Facsimile: (617) 204-5150			
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff			
13	NAZOMI COMMUNICATIONS, INC.			
14				
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
16				
17	Nazomi Communications, Inc.,	Case No. 5:10-cv-4686-JF		
18	Plaintiff,	JOINT CIVIL L.R. 3-12		
19	v.	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES		
20	Nokia Corporation, et al.	SHOULD BE RELATED		
21	Defendants.			
22				
23	In accordance with Civil Local Rules 3-12 and 7-11, Plaintiff Nazomi			
24	Communications, Inc. ("Nazomi") and Defendants, Samsung Telecommunications			
25	Communications, inc. (Nazonn) and Defendants, Samsung Telecommunications			
26	America, LLC, Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd, Samsung Electronics America, Inc.,			
27	LG Electronics, Inc., LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc., Kyocera Corporation, Kyocera			
28	Nazomi Communications vs. Nokia, et al. Case No. 5:10-cv-4686-JF	I.		

Case5:10-cv-04686-JF Document243 Filed02/28/11 Page2 of 5

International, Inc., Kyocera Communications, Inc., and Kyocera America, Inc.
(collectively "the Undersigned Parties") submit this Administrative Motion to
request that the Court consider whether the present case, Nazomi Communications,
Inc. v. Nokia Corporation, et al., Case No. 5:10-cv-4686-JF ("Nazomi I") should be
related to a separate matter pending before Judge Breyer, Nazomi Communications,
Inc. v. Samsung Telecommunications, Inc. et al., Case No. 3:10-cv-05545 ("Nazomi
<i>II</i> "). ¹

Under Civil Local Rule 3-12(a), matters are related if: (1) they involve substantially the same parties, property, transaction or event; and (2) adjudication by different judges will result in unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expense or conflicting results. *See* L.R. 3-12(a). Applied here, evaluation of *Nazomi II* in view of *Nazomi I* compels the conclusion that both prongs of the L.R. 3-12(a) test are met and that *Nazomi II* should be deemed related to *Nazomi I*.

First, there is substantial overlap in the property and technology at issue in the two cases because both patents and the technology at issue in *Nazomi I* are also at issue in *Nazomi II*. Second, for the same reasons detailed in Nazomi and ARM's

Counsel for the HTC Defendants ("HTC") has authorized Nazomi to report the following: HTC neither opposes nor joins this Motion. HTC notes that Plaintiff Nazomi's allegations against HTC are substantially different, in scope and in kind, from those asserted against the other Defendants. For example, only one of the three patents at issue in the *Samsung* case has been asserted against HTC, and none of the patents at issue in the *Nokia* case have been asserted against HTC. Therefore, HTC expressly reserves the right to seek separate treatment from this Court as appropriate during the course of this case. In particular, HTC may seek separate treatment regarding the scheduling and the conduct of various proceedings together with other Defendants.

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	-
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	
2	4	
2	5	
2	6	

27

28

prior motion to relate *Nazomi I* to an earlier lawsuit between Nazomi and ARM (*Nazomi Communications, Inc. v. ARM Holdings PLC, et al.*, Case No. 5:02-cv-02521-JF), reassignment of *Nazomi II* to Judge Fogel will both further judicial efficiency and avoid inconsistent judgments. *See* Exhibit A.²

Additionally, in transferring *Nazomi II* from the Central District of California, Judge Carter expressly noted that *Nazomi II* is related to *Nazomi I* and contemplated that *Nazomi II*, like *Nazomi I*, be adjudicated by Judge Fogel. *See* Exhibit A at Ex. 1, page 5 (transferring *Nazomi I* to this District to allow for eventual intra-district transfer to Judge Fogel); Exhibit B (stating that *Nazomi II* is related to *Nazomi I* and ordering parties to show cause as to why *Nazomi II* should not be transferred for the same reasons as *Nazomi II*); Exhibit C (transferring *Nazomi II* to this District for the same reasons as *Nazomi I*).

For the foregoing reasons, the Undersigned Parties request that the Court enter the accompanying proposed Order relating *Nazomi II* to *Nazomi I*.

² The Court granted Nazomi and ARM's joint motion to relate *Nazomi I* to the prior Nazomi/ARM litigation on November 10, 2010. *See* Docket No. 230.

1 Dated: February 28, 2011 /s/ Matthew Durell 2 Matthew Durell 3 durellm@pepperlaw.com Pepper Hamilton, LLP 4 125 High Street 15th Floor, Oliver Street Tower 5 Boston, Massachusetts 02110 6 Telephone: (617) 204-5102 7 Facsimile: (617) 204-5150 8 Attorney for Plaintiff Nazomi Communications, 9 Inc. 10 Dated: February 28, 2011 11 /s/ Mark Fowler Mark Fowler 12 mark.fowler@dlapiper.com 13 DLA Piper US LLP 2000 University Avenue 14 East Palo Alto, CA 94303 15 Telephone: (650) 833-2048 16 Attorney for the Samsung Defendants 17 Dated: February 28, 2011 18 /s/ Rita Tautkus 19 Rita E. Tautkus rtautkus@morganlewis.com 20 Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, LLP 21 One Market, Spear Street Tower San Francisco, CA 94105-1126 22 Telephone: (415) 442-1357 23 Facsimile: (415) 442-1001 24 Attorney for the LG Defendants 25 26 27

Case5:10-cv-04686-JF Document243 Filed02/28/11 Page4 of 5

28

Dated: February 28, 2011 /s/ Michael Dorfman Michael A. Dorfman michael.dorfman@kattenlaw.com Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP 525 W. Monroe Street Chicago, IL 60661 Telephone: (312) 902-5658 Facsimile: (312) 577-4738 Attorney for the Kyocera Defendants **ECF CERTIFICATION** Pursuant to General Order No. 45, § X.B., the filing attorney attests that he has obtained concurrence regarding the filing of this document from the signatories to the document. BY: /s/ Matthew Durell DATED: February 28, 2011

Case5:10-cv-04686-JF Document243 Filed02/28/11 Page5 of 5